



ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE  
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

**COUNSEL/ENDORSEMENT SLIP**

**COURT FILE NO.:** CV-24-00719841-00CL

**DATE:** May 14, 2024

**NO. ON LIST:**1

**TITLE OF PROCEEDING:** BANK OF MONTREAL v. ROLL X CARRIERS INC. et al

**BEFORE:** JUSTICE CAVANAGH

---

**PARTICIPANT INFORMATION**

**For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party:**

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Maya Poliak	Lawyer for Bank of Montreal	maya@chaitons.com

**For Defendant, Respondent, Responding Party:**

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Sudha Chandra	Lawyer for ROLL X CARRIERS INC., 14379373 CANADA INC., 2315269 ONTARIO INC., Respondents	chandralawoffice@gmail.com

---

**ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE CAVANAGH:**

- [1] Bank of Montreal has commenced this application for a receiverhip order. The position of BMO is that the application is urgent. BMO asks for a hearing date before the end of June.
- [2] Ms. Chandra, counsel for the Respondents, appeared today at the Zoom hearing. Ms. Chandra disputes that there is urgency to the application. She is out of the country and asked that this scheduling hearing be adjourned to allow her to consult with her clients upon her return next week and settle on an agreed timetable with counsel for BMO.
- [3] At the hearing, Ms. Chandra agreed to send an email confirming that she accepts service of the application materials on behalf of the Respondents.

- [4] I agreed to adjourn the scheduling hearing, at Ms. Chandra's request, to **Tuesday, May 28, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.** by Zoom.
- [5] I explained to Ms. Chandra that the time is now running for delivery of her clients' responding materials and that the timetable for delivery of these materials to approved at the scheduling appointment will take into account the time that will have already passed. The Respondents are expected to begin now to prepare responding materials.
- [6] I ask counsel to confer about the timetable and the application generally.
- [7] I declined to set a hearing date today, where Ms. Chandra was out of the country and objected. However, I will set a hearing date at the next scheduling appointment that reflects my assessment of the urgency of this application, after hearing from counsel, even if this means a tight timetable for delivery of materials and cross-examinations, if necessary.